

#1 Casting Out Nines: In our “childhood” we are taught that a number is divisible by 9 if its digits add up to 9. Well, not quite – if its digits add up to a number bigger than 9, we need to repeat the process. For example, 63 is divisible by 9 because $6 + 3 = 9$. Also, 99 is divisible by 9 because $9 + 9 = 18$ and $1 + 8 = 9$. Here’s a big example: 19,008,675 is divisible by 9 because $1 + 9 + 0 + 0 + 8 + 6 + 7 + 5 = 36$ and $3 + 6 = 9$. On the other hand, 123,454,321 is not divisible by 9 because $1 + 2 + 3 + 4 + 5 + 4 + 3 + 2 + 1 = 25$ and $2 + 5 = 7 \neq 9$.

Why does this work? Well, it rests on the fact that if $n = d_\ell \times 10^\ell + \cdots + d_2 \times 10^2 + d_1 \times 10 + d_0$ where $d_j \in \{0, 1, \dots, 9\}$ for all $j = 0, \dots, \ell$ then $9 \mid n$ if and only if $9 \mid (d_\ell + \cdots + d_1 + d_0)$. [This says that a number is divisible by 9 if and only if its digits sum to a number divisible by 9. By repeatedly summing digits we will eventually end up with a number between 0 and 9. So n is divisible by 9 if and only if this process terminates with a “9”.]

Prove the fact. [Make sure your argument shows “if and only if”.]

Hint: Translate this statement into congruences mod 9 and consider the value of $10 \bmod 9$, $10^2 \bmod 9$, etc.

#2 Extended Euclidean Exhaustion: For each of the following pairs of numbers, $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$, compute their greatest common divisor, $d = \gcd(a, b)$, using the Euclidean algorithm. Then use your work to write the gcd as an integral linear combination of your pair of numbers (i.e., find $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $ax + by = d$).

Note: Obviously my Sage Interact found at...

<https://billcookmath.com/sage/algebra>

will compute the end result. But I want you to do this by hand and *show your work!* [Then go use the interact to check your answers.]

(a) $a = 555$ and $b = 95$.

(b) $a = 9999$ and $b = 122$.

#3 What does this get me? Suppose that $a, b, x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ and we have $ax + by = 10$. What can we say about $\gcd(a, b)$? What must be true if $ax + by = 1$?

#4 Rationalizing Away Our Problems: At the end of the third video about Divisibility and the Extended Euclidean Algorithm, I gave an example showing how to use the extended Euclidean algorithm applied to polynomials to rationalize a fraction involving a cube root. Use my Sage Interact for polynomial gcds to compute a gcd of appropriate polynomials and then use the result of that computation to rationalize:

$$\frac{1}{2 - 3^{1/4} - 3 \cdot 3^{1/2} + 3^{3/4}} = ??? + ??? \cdot 3^{1/4} + ??? \cdot 3^{1/2} + ??? \cdot 3^{3/4}$$

where the ??? coefficients are rational numbers.

#5 A Modern Algebra Problem The set $\mathbb{Z}_n = \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ forms an Abelian group under addition (mod n). This means that adding (mod n) elements in \mathbb{Z}_n will give back an element in \mathbb{Z}_n , this addition is associative, there is an identity element 0 where $0 + k = 0 = k + 0$, each element has an additive inverse (for example: $1 + (n-1) = 0 \bmod n$ so the additive inverse of 1 is $-1 = n-1$), and addition is commutative.

Notice that \mathbb{Z}_n is **cyclic**. By this we mean that there is an element that generates all of the rest of the elements. In particular: $1, 1+1=2, \dots, 1+\cdots+1=n-1, 1+\cdots+1=n=0$. Thus 1 is a generator for \mathbb{Z}_n .

Now \mathbb{Z}_n is not an Abelian group under multiplication (mod n). This is because we fail to have multiplicative inverses for everyone. In particular, 0^{-1} never exists. In fact, one can show that the elements which have multiplicative inverses mod n are exactly the elements relatively prime to n . We call this collection \mathbb{Z}_n^\times or sometimes $U(n)$. In particular, $\mathbb{Z}_n^\times = \{k \in \mathbb{Z}_n \mid \text{any representative of } k \text{ and } n \text{ are relatively prime}\}$.

It is the case that the collection \mathbb{Z}_n^\times forms an Abelian group under multiplication mod n . However, sometimes it is cyclic and sometimes it is not.

For example: $\mathbb{Z}_6 = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ is a group under addition mod 6 whereas $\mathbb{Z}_6^\times = \{k \in \mathbb{Z}_6 \mid \gcd(k, 6) = 1\} = \{1, 5\}$ is a group under multiplication mod 6. Notice that $1 \cdot 1 = 1$ so $1^{-1} = 1$ and $5 \cdot 5 = 1$ so $5^{-1} = 5$. Also, \mathbb{Z}_6^\times is cyclic since 5 generates this group: $5^1 = 5$ and $5^2 = 25 = 1$.

FINALLY, your problems:

(a) Find the elements of \mathbb{Z}_7^\times and \mathbb{Z}_8^\times .

(b) Compute the multiplicative inverse of each of the elements of \mathbb{Z}_7^\times and again for \mathbb{Z}_8^\times .

(c) Show that \mathbb{Z}_7^\times is cyclic. In fact, it is generated by 3. Does it have any other generators? Then show that \mathbb{Z}_8^\times is not cyclic (none of its elements generate the whole group).