Due: Fri., Jan. 22<sup>nd</sup>, **2016** 

These problems are drawn from Rotman's "Galois Theory" (2<sup>nd</sup> edition).

Page 12 #5 Show that the intersection of any family of subrings is itself a subring.

Given  $S_{\alpha}$  is a subring of a ring R for all  $\alpha \in I$  (I is some index set). Show  $\bigcap_{\alpha \in I} S_{\alpha}$  is a subring of R.

**Page 12** #8 Let R be a (commutative) ring (with 1) and let  $f(x) = r_0 + r_1 x + \cdots + r_n x^n \in R[x]$ . We can define the formal derivative of f(x) as follows:  $f'(x) = \frac{d}{dx} \left[ f(x) \right] = r_1 + 2r_2 x + \cdots + nr_n x^{n-1}$ .

Prove that the derivative is linear and obeys the product rule: [f(x) + g(x)]' = f'(x) + g'(x), [cf(x)]' = cf'(x), and [f(x)g(x)]' = f'(x)g(x) + f(x)g'(x) for all  $f(x), g(x) \in R[x]$  and  $c \in R$ .

Keep in mind that if  $f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n r_i x^i$  and  $g(x) = \sum_{j=0}^m s_j x^j$ , then  $f(x)g(x) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{m+n} \left(\sum_{k=0}^\ell r_k s_{\ell-k}\right) x^\ell$ .

- **Page 16 #13** Degrees of Difficulty  $\partial(f(x)) = \deg(f(x)) = \deg(f(x))$ 
  - i. Let R be an integral domain,  $f(x), g(x) \in R[x]$ , and  $f(x), g(x) \neq 0$ . Briefly explain why the leading coefficient of f(x)g(x) is the product of the leading coefficients of f(x) and g(x). Then justify why  $\partial(f(x)g(x)) = \partial(f(x)) + \partial(g(x))$ .
  - ii. Prove that if R is an integral domain, then so is R[x].
  - iii. Consider  $R = \mathbb{Z}_4[x]$ . Show that  $(2x+1)^2 = 1$ . What does this say about the formula in part i and the result of part ii?
  - iv. Show that x can be factored: x = f(x)g(x) in  $\mathbb{Z}_4[x]$  in such a way that neither f(x) nor g(x) is constant.

Page 16 #16 Field or not a field.

- i. Let  $\mathbb{F}$  be a field. Show that  $(\mathbb{F}[x])^{\times} = \mathbb{F} \{0\}$  (i.e. the units of  $\mathbb{F}[x]$  are exactly the non-zero constant polynomials).
- ii. Show that  $\mathbb{Z}_2[x]$  is an infinite ring with exactly 1 unit.
- iii. Give an example of a non-constant polynomial in  $\mathbb{Z}_4[x]$  that is a unit.
- Page 17 #19 Prove that the intersection of any family of subfields is itself a subfield. (Note that this intersection isn't the trivial ring since all of the subfields contain 1.)

Let  $\mathbb{E}_{\alpha}$  be a subfield of a field  $\mathbb{F}$  for all  $\alpha \in I$  (I is some index set). Show  $\bigcap_{\alpha \in I} \mathbb{E}_{\alpha}$  is a subfield of  $\mathbb{F}$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>This is a totally formal notion of derivative. There is no concept of "limit" in a general ring R. Also, keep in mind that  $2r_2x$  is not 2 times  $r_2x$  but instead it is the 2<sup>nd</sup> additive power of  $r_2x$ . In other words,  $2r_2x = r_2x + r_2x$ . This may not show up in your proof, but it is something you should think about as you write up your solution.