

#1 Gaussian Integers Recall that the Gaussian integers $\mathbb{Z}[i] = \{a + bi \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ are a Euclidean domain when equipped with the norm:

$$N(a + bi) = (a + bi)\overline{(a + bi)} = (a + bi)(a - bi) = a^2 + b^2$$

In every Euclidean domain we have $N(z) \leq N(zw)$, but here we have something even stronger: the norm is multiplicative (i.e. $N(zw) = N(z)N(w)$). Note also that for $z = a + bi \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$, we have $\bar{z} = z$ (i.e. $a - bi = a + bi$) iff z is an integer (i.e. $z = a$). Also, it may help to note that z divides w iff \bar{z} divides \bar{w} (since $zk = w \iff \bar{z}\bar{k} = \bar{w}$).

Consider $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Notice that if n factors in \mathbb{Z} , then n factors in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$. However, the converse does not necessarily hold (for example, $5 = (1 + 2i)(1 - 2i)$). For clarity, in what follows, when we say *prime integer* or just *prime* we mean prime in \mathbb{Z} and when we say *Gauss prime* we mean prime in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$.

- Identify $\mathbb{Z}[i]^\times$ (the units of the Gaussian integers).
- Show that π is a Gauss prime iff $\bar{\pi}$ is a Gauss prime.
- Show if $N(\pi)$ is a prime integer, then π must be a Gauss prime. *Note:* Prime = irreducible since $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ is a UFD.
- Let p be a prime (integer). Show that either p is a Gauss prime or $p = \pi\bar{\pi}$ for some Gauss prime π .
Hint: If $p = \pi\tau$, then $N(\pi)N(\tau) = N(p) = p^2$. So $N(\pi) = ?$ If $N(z)$ is a prime integer, can z factor?

Lemma: If π is a Gauss prime, then $N(\pi) = \pi\bar{\pi}$ is either a prime integer or the square of a prime integer.

proof: Let π be a Gauss prime and suppose that π is not a prime integer (or an associate of a prime integer). [Note: π isn't a unit so $N(\pi) > 1$.] We already showed that $\bar{\pi}$ is also a Gauss prime. Also, by considering the units of $\mathbb{Z}[i]$, we can see that π and $\bar{\pi}$ cannot be associates (if they were, they would necessarily be associates of an integer).

Now consider the integer $N(\pi)$. Suppose that $N(\pi) = AB$ for some $A, B \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Notice π divides $N(\pi) = \pi\bar{\pi} = AB$ so because π is prime it must divide A or B . WLOG assume it divides A . Next, since π divides A , $\bar{\pi}$ divides \bar{A} ($= A$ since integers are self-conjugate). But π and $\bar{\pi}$ are non-associate primes, thus relatively prime. Hence their product $AB = N(\pi) = \pi\bar{\pi}$ must divide A . Therefore, $B = 1$. This means $N(\pi)$ has no interesting factorizations (it's a prime integer).

Of course, if π is a Gauss prime which is an associate of a prime integer, then $\pi = up$ for some unit u and prime p . Then $N(\pi) = N(u)N(p) = 1 \cdot p^2 = p^2$.

- Let p be an integer. Show that $p = \pi\bar{\pi}$ for some $\pi \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$ iff $p = a^2 + b^2$ for some $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Lemma: Let p be an odd prime integer. Then p is a Gauss prime iff $x^2 + 1$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{Z}_p[x]$.

proof: Primes in PIDs generate maximal ideals. So p is a Gauss prime iff $\mathbb{Z}[i]/(p)$ is a field. Note that $\frac{\mathbb{Z}[i]}{(p)} \cong \frac{\mathbb{Z}[x]}{(p, x^2 + 1)} \cong \frac{\mathbb{Z}_p[x]}{(x^2 + 1)}$. So $\mathbb{Z}[i]/(p)$ is a field iff $\mathbb{Z}_p[x]/(x^2 + 1)$ is a field. This is true iff $(x^2 + 1)$ is maximal in $\mathbb{Z}_p[x]$. Thus iff $x^2 + 1$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{Z}_p[x]$.

- Let p be a prime integer. Show that $p = \pi\bar{\pi}$ for some $\pi \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$ iff $x^2 = -1 \pmod{p}$ has an integer solution.
Hint: If $p = \pi\bar{\pi}$, then p is not a Gauss prime. Apply the lemma. Also, you need to handle the case $p = 2$ separately – the integer 2 isn't odd!

Lemma: Let p be an odd prime (integer). Show that $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ is a solution of $x^2 = -1 \pmod{p}$ iff a is an element of order 4 in $U(p) = \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ (the group of units in \mathbb{Z}_p).

proof: If a is a solution then $a^2 = -1 \pmod{p}$ so the order of a isn't 1 or 2. But $a^4 = (-1)^2 = 1 \pmod{p}$ so the order of a is 4. Conversely, if a has order 4, then $a^4 = 1 \pmod{p}$. This means a is a root of the polynomial $x^4 - 1 = (x^2 - 1)(x^2 + 1)$ in $\mathbb{Z}_p[x]$. But also, a has order 4 so $a^2 \neq 1 \pmod{p}$. This means that a cannot be a root of $x^2 - 1$. Thus it is a root of $x^2 + 1$ so that $a^2 + 1 = 0 \pmod{p}$ (i.e. $a^2 = -1 \pmod{p}$).

Proposition: Let p be a prime integer. $x^2 = -1 \pmod{p}$ has an integer solution iff $p \not\equiv 3 \pmod{4}$.

proof: First, any prime integer congruent to 0 or 2 (mod 4) must be even. The only such prime is $p = 2$. Notice that $1^2 = 1 = -1 \pmod{2}$. Thus we can turn our attention to odd primes. Assume p is odd.

Suppose that $x^2 = -1 \pmod{p}$ has an integer solution, say a . Then by the previous lemma $|a| = 4$ in the group \mathbb{Z}_p^\times . Notice that $|\mathbb{Z}_p^\times| = p - 1$. So 4 divides $p - 1$. Therefore, $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. [Thus $p \not\equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ for any such prime.]

Conversely, if $p \not\equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, then since p is odd we have that $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. Therefore, 4 divides $p - 1$. The group \mathbb{Z}_p^\times is cyclic (we will eventually prove that *any finite subgroup* of the group of units of a field is cyclic). Therefore, this group must have an element of order 4, say a . Therefore, by the lemma above a is an integer solution of $x^2 = -1 \pmod{p}$.

In summary, we've proven the following theorem...

Theorem: Let p be a prime integer. The following are equivalent:

- $p = \pi\bar{\pi}$ for some Gauss prime π .
- $p = a^2 + b^2$ for some $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$.
- $x^2 = -1 \pmod{p}$ has an integer solution.
- $p \not\equiv 3 \pmod{4}$.

This theorem allows us to identify the primes in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$. Factorizations can now be accomplished by focusing on factoring (as an integer) the norm of an element and then seeing what that says about the element in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$.

Example: $6 + 2i = 2(3 + i)$. Notice that $N(3 + i) = 3^2 + 1^2 = 10$ so $3 + i$ isn't a Gauss prime. $10 = 2 \cdot 5$. $2 = (1 + i)(1 - i)$ and $5 = (1 + 2i)(1 - 2i)$. Thus $(1 + i)(1 - i)(1 + 2i)(1 - 2i) = 2 \cdot 5 = 10 = (3 + i)(3 - i)$ so because $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ is a UFD, the prime factors of $3 + i$ must be found among $1 \pm i$ and $1 \pm 2i$. Through trial and error we find that $3 + i = (1 - i)(1 + 2i)$. Thus $6 + 2i = 2(3 + i) = (1 + i)(1 - i)(1 - i)(1 + 2i) = (1 + i)(1 - i)^2(1 + 2i)$.

Example: $6 + 9i = 3(2 + 3i)$. Notice that $3 \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ so 3 is not only a prime but also a Gauss prime. Next, $N(2 + 3i) = 2^2 + 3^2 = 13$ (prime) so $2 + 3i$ is also a Gauss prime. Therefore, $6 + 9i = 3(2 + 3i)$ is a prime factorization.

(g) Factor 700 in \mathbb{Z} and then in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$.

(h) Factor $33 + 77i$ in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$.

#2 An Ideal Problem Let R be a ring and let I and J be ideals of R . Show that $I + J = \{i + j \mid i \in I \text{ and } j \in J\}$, $I \cap J$, and $IJ = \{i_1j_1 + \cdots + i_mj_m \mid m \geq 0; i_k \in I \text{ and } j_k \in J\}$ are ideals of R .

#3 Idealistic Divisibility Let R be an integral domain. Recall that a divides b iff b is a multiple of a iff there is some $k \in R$ such that $ak = b$ iff $b \in (a)$ iff $(b) \subseteq (a)$.

- (a) Let $a, b \in R$. We say $d \in R$ is a *greatest common divisor* (GCD) of a and b iff d is a common divisor of a and b (i.e., d divides a and d divides b) and also given any other common divisor c (i.e., c divides a and c divides b) we have that c divides d .

Suppose that $(a) + (b) = (a, b) = \{ax + by \mid x, y \in R\}$ is principal, say $(a, b) = (d)$. Show that d is a GCD of a and b .

- (b) **[Grad. Students]** Give a similar definition for a *least common multiple* (LCM) of a and b . Show that if $(a) \cap (b) = (\ell)$, then ℓ is an LCM of a and b .

#4 Fractionally Important [Grad. Students] Let R be a principal ideal domain (PID) and let S be a *non-empty* multiplicative subset of R (i.e., $a, b \in S$ implies $ab \in S$) and also assume that $0 \notin S$. Show that RS^{-1} is also a PID. [Recall that $RS^{-1} = \{r/s \mid r \in R \text{ and } s \in S\}$ is the ring of fractions with numerators in R and denominators in S .]

Hint: Let \mathcal{I} be an ideal of RS^{-1} . Consider $I = \{a \in R \mid \text{there exists some } s \in S \text{ such that } a/s \in \mathcal{I}\}$ (i.e. the set of numerators). Show I is an ideal of R and $IS^{-1} = \{a/s \mid a \in I \text{ and } s \in S\} = \mathcal{I}$.